

Assignment 5

We fix throughout a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ on which we are given a filtration \mathbb{F} , unless otherwise stated.

Sub-martingales are good integrators

Show that (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -local sub-martingale is an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -good integrator.

Hint: it will be useful here to use the Doob–Meyer decomposition for discrete-time martingales.

Khinchine inequalities

The goal of this exercise is to prove that if $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that the set

$$S := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon_k Z_k : n \in \mathbb{N}, (\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \in \{-1, 1\}^{n+1} \right\},$$

is bounded in \mathbb{P} -probability, then, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k^2$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. finite. In particular, $Z_k \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, both \mathbb{P} -a.s. and in \mathbb{P} -probability.

- 1) In order to prove this result, we need first an intermediary lemma, which is a variant of the so-called Khinchine inequalities. Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ of \mathbb{P} -independent random variables which are uniformly distributed on $\{-1, 1\}$ under \mathbb{P} . We will prove first that for any $K \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ taking values in \mathbb{R}

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \varepsilon_k \right| \geq K \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \geq \delta.$$

- a) Show that for any random variable $Y \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Y] > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[Y > 0] \geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Y]^2 / \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Y^2].$$

- b) Verify that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \varepsilon_k \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \varepsilon_k \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^2,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \varepsilon_k \right)^4 \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_k^4 + 3 \sum_{\{(j,k) \in \{0, \dots, n\}^2 : j \neq k\}} \lambda_k^2 \lambda_j^2 = 3 \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^2 \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \lambda_k^4 \leq 3 \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k^2 \right)^2.$$

- c) Using the two previous questions, deduce that whenever the $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ are not all equal to 0, the desired result holds with $\delta = \frac{(1-K^2)^2}{3-2K^2+K^4}$. Conclude.

- 2) Prove that if μ is the uniform probability measure on $E := \{-1, 1\}^{n+1}$, then for any $K \in (0, 1)$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_E \mathbf{1}_{\{|\sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon_k Z_k| \geq K \sigma_n\}} d\mu(\varepsilon) \geq \delta,$$

where for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_n := \left(\sum_{k=0}^n Z_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

3) Deduce that for any $L > 0$

$$\int_E \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \epsilon_k Z_k \right| \geq K\sigma_n \geq KL \right] d\mu(\varepsilon) \geq \delta \mathbb{P}[\sigma_n \geq L],$$

3) Show that for any $\beta > 0$, we have for any $L > 0$ large enough

$$\beta \geq \delta \mathbb{P}[\sigma_n \geq L].$$

Conclude.